PROOF OF THE EVANS-VIGIER FIELD FROM THE DIRAC EQUATION OF THE FERMION IN THE CLASSICAL FIELD: REPLY TO RIKKEN

M. W. Evans¹

Department of Physics and Astronomy York University 4700 Keele Street Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada

Received November 2, 1995; Updated January 15, 1996

The Dirac equation of the fermion in the classical electromagnetic field is used to prove the existence of the Evans-Vigier field from the first principles of relativistic quantum theory.

Key words: Dirac equation, fermion, Evans-Vigier field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, an experiment [1] has been reported which did not detect the optical Faraday effect (OFE) in liquid benzene. This negative result was used to conclude that the Evans-Vigier field $\mathbf{B}^{(3)}$ [2-5] does not exist. The existence, however, of magneto-optic effects is well supported empirically [6-10] and the OFE had been reported experimentally [11], under more appropriate conditions, prior to Rikken's paper, which does not refer to this work [11]. In this reply to Rikken's paper [1] the Dirac equation of one fermion in the classical electromagnetic field is used to prove the existence of $\mathbf{B}^{(3)}$ and to define the experimental conditions under which its effects can be observed specifically. The OFE was first predicted by Kielich [12] using non-relativistic arguments.

Address for correspondence: 50 Rhyddwen Road, CraigCefn-Parc, Swansea, SA6 5RA, Wales, United Kingdom.

2. THE B(3) FIELD FROM THE DIRAC EQUATION

Using the Dirac equation, it can be shown [2] that the interaction of a fermion with a circularly polarized electromagnetic field is described by the following equation, after averaging over many field cycles,

$$((En - mc^2 + ecA_0)(En + mc^2 + ecA_0) - c^2(\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A}^*)$$

$$\cdot (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A}) - ie^2c^2\mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A}^* \times \mathbf{A})\psi = 0.$$
(1)

Here ψ is the four-spinor of Dirac [13], $A_{\mu}:=(A,A_0)$, is the field's potential four-vector; e, m, and p are the charge, mass and momentum, respectively, of the fermion; c is the speed of light in vacuo, En is the total energy of the fermion, and mc^2 its rest energy in the observer's frame of reference. The four-vector A_{μ} is electromagnetic in nature, so A is complex [14-16]. The term in $\sigma \cdot A^* \times A$, where σ is a Pauli spinor [13], leads to the Evans-Vigier field as follows.

2.1 WEAK FIELD LIMIT $(mc^2 > ecA_0)$

This limit corresponds to

$$I \ll \left(\frac{cm^2}{\mu_0 e^2}\right) \omega^2, \tag{2}$$

where I is the field intensity (W m⁻²); μ_0 the vacuum permeability in S.I. [17]; and ω the field angular frequency. For an electron,

$$I < 7.72 \times 10^{-9} \omega^2$$
, (3)

and for a proton,

$$I < 0.026 \omega^2$$
. (4)

Using, additionally, the non-relativistic limits given by Dirac [13], i.e., $En \sim mc^2$, $p \sim 0$, the limit for an initially slow moving fermion, Eq. (1), becomes

$$W\psi := (En - mc^2)\psi \sim \left(\frac{e^2}{2m}(\mathbf{A}^* \cdot \mathbf{A} + i\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A}^* \times \mathbf{A} - ecA_0)\right)\psi.$$
 (5)

The Evans-Vigier field [2-5] in this limit emerges from the Dirac equation (5) and is defined by

$$B^{(3)*} := -i\frac{e}{\hbar}\mathbf{A}\times\mathbf{A}^* := -i\frac{e}{\hbar}\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\times\mathbf{A}^{(2)}, \qquad (6)$$

where \hbar is the Dirac constant. This definition makes the term in $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^*$ an ordinary Zeeman effect term [2–5], with fermion half-integral spin eigenvalue $\pm \hbar/2$ as usual.

2.2 STRONG FIELD LIMIT $(mc^2 \ll ecA_0)$

Using $En \sim mc^2$; $\boldsymbol{p} \sim \boldsymbol{0}$, the Dirac Eq. (1) in this limit becomes

$$W\psi = \left(eC\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \frac{i}{A^{(0)}} \mathbf{A}^* \times \mathbf{A}\right) \psi, \tag{7}$$

where [2-5] $A^{(0)}=({\bf A}\cdot{\bf A}^*)^{1/2}=A_0$ is the scalar amplitude of the vector ${\bf A}$. In this condition, A_μ is lightlike, i.e., $A_\mu A_\mu = 0$. Using [2-5] $A^{(0)}=cB^{(0)}/\omega$, the Evans-Vigier field emerges from the Dirac equation (7) as

$$B^{(3)*} := -\frac{i}{B^{(0)}} B \times B^* := \frac{i}{B^{(0)}} B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)}$$
. (8)

Equation (6) is transformed into Eq. (8) using the equivalence principle [2-5],

$$\frac{e}{\hbar} = \frac{\kappa}{A^{(0)}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\kappa = \omega/c$ is the momentum magnitude of a free photon. Equation (9) applies when $I > 7.72 \times 10^{-9} \omega^2$ for the electron or when $I > 0.026 \omega^2$ for the proton; and means that the field intensity is such as to accelerate the fermion infinitesimally close to c. The momentum magnitude, $eA^{(0)}$, transferred from field to fermion is for all practical purposes the momentum magnitude, $\hbar \kappa$, of the free photon

itself. This means that the photon has given up all its energy and momentum to the fermion.

3. DISCUSSION

Equation (8) was the first to be proposed [2-5], using arguments based on rotation operators of the free field. The limits of application of Eq. (8) were first shown in Ref. 2, Vol. 1, Eq. (411), using classical arguments. Equation (8) shows that $B^{(3)}$ is a fundamental vacuum field because $B^{(0)}$, $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ are fundamental quantities of the classical The manner in which $B^{(3)}$ interacts with matter has field. been summarized in Sec. 1. Rikken [1] worked with a peak ${\it I}$ of about 5.5 x 10^{12} W m⁻² at a frequency of 10,640 cm⁻¹; $(\omega = 1.77 \times 10^{15} \text{ rad s}^{-1})$. Equation (3) and (4) both show that he worked well within the weak-field limit, a limit in which definition (6) must be used and in which definition (8) does not hold. Unfortunately, Rikken [1] appears to have used the latter definition and does not refer to our Ref. 2. His sample, benzene, has no free electron spin and so the only OFE expected is that due to a perturbation of the polarizability tensor by $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}^*$ [18]. This effect was not detected, so it must be very small, as expected theoretical-To assert on the basis of this type of experiment that $B^{(3)}$ does not exist contradicts the fundamentals of relativity and quantum mechanics on which Dirac [13] based his original analysis. The emergence of $B^{(3)}$ from the Dirac equation shows that vague criticisms of the $B^{(3)}$ field based on symmetry [19] and assertion [20] are incorrect.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

York University is thanked for a visiting professorship and the University of North Carolina at Charlotte for project support. Many interesting discussions are acknowledged with Professors Stanley Jeffers and Sisir Roy.

REFERENCES

[1] G. L. J. A. Rikken, Opt. Lett. 20, 846 (1995).

[2] M. W. Evans and J.-P. Vigier, The Enigmatic Photon, Volume 1: The Field B⁽³⁾ (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1994); M. W. Evans and J.-P. Vigier, The Enigmatic Photon, Volume 2: Non-Abelian Electrodynamics (Kluwer Reply to Rikken 65

Academic, Dordrecht, 1995); M. W. Evans, J.-P. Vigier, S. Roy, and Stanley Jeffers, *The Enigmatic Photon*, *Volume 3: Theory and Practice of the B⁽³⁾ Field* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1996), in press.

[3] M. W. Evans, Found. Phys. Lett. 7, 67, 209, 379, 467, 577 (1994); Found. Phys. Lett. 8, 63, 83, 187, 363, 385 (1995); M. W. Evans, Physica B 182, 227, 237 (1992); 183, 103 (1993); 190, 310 (1993); Physica A 214, 605 (1995); M. W. Evans, Found. Phys. 24, 1519, 1671 (1994).

[4] M. W. Evans and S. Kielich, eds., Modern Nonlinear Optics, Vols. 85(1), 85(2), 85(3) of Advances in Chemical Physics, I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice, eds., (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1993).

[5] A. A. Hasanein and M. W. Evans, The Photomagneton in Quantum Field Theory (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).

[6] Reviewed by R. Zawodny in Vol. 85(1) of Ref. 4.

- [7] J. P. van der Ziel, P. S. Pershan, and L. D. Malmstrom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 15, 190 (1965); P. S. Pershan, J. P. van der Ziel, and L. D. Malmstrom, Phys. Rev. 143, 574 (1966); J. Deschamps, M. Fitaire, and M. Lagoutte, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1330 (1970); ibid., Rev. Appl. Phys. 7, 155 (1972); J. P. van der Ziel and N. Bloembergen, Phys. Rev. 138, 1287 (1965); J. F. Holtzrichter, R. M. Macfarlane, and A. L. Schawlow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 652 (1971).
- [8] W. Happer, Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 169 (1972); B. S. Mathur, H. Tang, and W. Happer, Phys. Rev. 171, 11 (1968); C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, Photons and Atoms (Wiley, New York, 1989); J. Frey, R. Frey, C. Flytzannis, and R. Triboulet, Opt. Commun. 84, 76 (1991).
- [9] T. W. Barrett, H. Wohltjen, and A. Snow, Nature 301, 694 (1983); B. A. Zon, V. Yu. Kuperschmidt, G. V. Pakhomov, and T. T. Urazbaev, JETP Lett. 45, 272 (1987).
- [10] W. S. Warren, S. Mayr, D. Goswami, and A. P. West Jr., Science 255, 1683 (1992); 259, 836 (1993).
- [11] J. Frey, R. Frey, C. Flytzannis, and R. Triboulet, Opt. Commun. 84, 76 (1991); J. Opt. Soc. Am. B. 9, 132 (1992).
- [12] S. Kielich, Phys. Lett. A., 25, 517 (1967); Appl. Phys. Lett. 13, 152 (1968).
- [13] P. A. M. Dirac, *Quantum Mechanics*, 4th. revised edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1974).
- [14] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (Wiley, New York, 1962).

- [15] W. K. H. Panofsky and M. Phillips, *Classical Electricity* and Magnetism, 2nd. edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1962).
- [16] A. O. Barut, Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields and Particles (Macmillan, New York, 1964).
- [17] P. W. Atkins, *Molecular Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd. edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1983).
- [18] M. W. Evans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2909 (1990).
- [19] L. D. Baron, Physica B, 190, 307 (1993); replied to by
 M. W. Evans, ibid, p 310.
- [20] A. Lakhtakia, Found. Phys. Lett., 8(2), 183 (1995); replied to by M. W. Evans, Found. Phys. Lett. 8(2), 187; A. Lakhtakia, Physica B, 191, 362 (1993); D. M. Grims, Physica B, 191, 367 (1993); replied to by M. W. Evans Found. Phys. Lett., in press; A. D. Buckingham, personal communications.