SOME NOTES ON THE SOLENOIDAL BELTRAMI EQUATIONS (Barrett and Grimes, pp. 228 ff).

This is

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = k\mathbf{B}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Note that this is satisfied by:

$$\mathbf{B}^{(1)} = \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} (i\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}) e^{i(\omega t - \kappa \cdot \mathbf{r})}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^{(2)} = \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} (-i\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}) e^{-i(\omega t - \kappa \cdot \mathbf{r})}$$

$$\mathbf{B}^{(3)} = B^{(0)} \mathbf{k}$$

Specifically:

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \\ i e^{i \phi} & e^{i \phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \left(-\boldsymbol{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} e^{i \phi} + i \boldsymbol{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} e^{i \phi} \right) \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \kappa \left(i \boldsymbol{i} + \boldsymbol{j} \right) e^{i \phi} \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \kappa \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)}$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)} = \kappa \, \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)}; \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Similarly:

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \\ -ie^{-i\phi} & e^{-i\phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \left(-\boldsymbol{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} e^{-i\phi} - i\boldsymbol{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} e^{-i\phi} \right) \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \kappa \left(-i\boldsymbol{i} + \boldsymbol{j} \right) e^{-i\phi} \frac{B^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \kappa \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)} = \kappa \, \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)}; \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Similarly:

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(3)} = \mathbf{0}; \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(3)} = 0. \tag{3}$$

CONCLUSION

The field $B^{(1)}$, $B^{(2)}$, $B^{(3)}$ are solenoidal and Beltrami, and it is clear that this does not mean that $B^{(1)}$, $B^{(2)}$ and $B^{(3)}$ are everywhere constant.

THE CORRECT INTERPRETATION OF $\nabla \times B^{(3)}$ AND $\nabla \cdot B^{(3)}$

This is:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}^{(3)} = -ig\nabla \times \left(\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \times \mathbf{A}^{(2)}\right)$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^{(3)} = -ig\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{A}^{(1)} \times \mathbf{A}^{(2)}\right)$$

which shows that $B^{(3)}$ is not everywhere constant, because $A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}$ is not everywhere constant. Specifically:

1)

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(3)} = -ig \left[A^{(2)} \cdot \left(\nabla \times A^{(1)} \right) - A^{(1)} \cdot \left(\nabla \times A^{(2)} \right) \right]$$
$$= -ig \left(A^{(2)} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(1)} - A^{(1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{(2)} \right)$$
$$= 0$$

It is clear that $A^{(2)} = A^{(1)^*}$ are defined as propagating fields, and so $B^{(3)}$ is a propagating field that exists if and only if $A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}$ exists, at a phase number $\omega t - \kappa \cdot Z$.

2)

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}^{(3)} = ig \left[A^{(1)} \left(\nabla \cdot A^{(2)} \right) - \left(\nabla \cdot A^{(1)} \right) A^{(2)} + \left(A^{(2)} \cdot \nabla \right) A^{(1)} - \left(A^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \right) A^{(2)} \right]$$
$$= \mathbf{0}$$

3)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^{(3)}}{\partial t} = -ig \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)} \right)$$
$$= -ig \left(\frac{\partial A^{(1)}}{\partial t} \times A^{(2)} + A^{(1)} \times \frac{\partial A^{(2)}}{\partial t} \right)$$
$$= \mathbf{0}$$