

1) 115 (15): Invariance and Covariance of the ECE Field Equations.

The field equations are based on the geometry:

$$D_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu} = R^{\kappa}_{\mu} \quad - (1)$$

and its Hodge dual:

$$D_{\mu} \tilde{T}^{\kappa\mu} = \tilde{R}^{\kappa}_{\mu} \quad - (2)$$

These equations can be written as:

$$D_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu} = j^{\kappa} \quad - (3)$$

$$D_{\mu} \tilde{T}^{\kappa\mu} = \tilde{j}^{\kappa} \quad - (4)$$

The electromagnetic field equations are:

$$D_{\mu} F^{\kappa\mu} = A^{(0)} j^{\kappa} \quad - (5)$$

$$D_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\kappa\mu} = A^{(0)} \tilde{j}^{\kappa} \quad - (6)$$

Experimentally:

$$j^{\kappa} \gg \tilde{j}^{\kappa} \quad - (7)$$

because a magnetic monopole has never been observed. Claims to have observed a magnetic monopole are not with counter claims. So one can only assume that \tilde{j}^{κ} is very small. Therefore:

$$D_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\kappa\mu} = 0 \quad - (8)$$

$$D_{\mu} F^{\kappa\mu} = A^{(0)} j^{\kappa} \quad - (9)$$

Vacuum Electromagnetic Field

This is defined by:

2)

$$\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (10)$$

$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (11)$$

As shown in paper 100, these can be written as:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 0 \quad - (12)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{E} + \frac{\partial \underline{B}}{\partial t} = \underline{0} \quad - (13)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{E} = 0 \quad - (14)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \underline{E}}{\partial t} = \underline{0} \quad - (15)$$

After general coordinate transformation, eqs. (10) and (11) become:

$$(\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0)' \quad - (16)$$

$$(\partial_{\mu} F^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0)' \quad - (17)$$

so:

$$\partial_{\mu} \tilde{F}^{\kappa\mu\nu} = \partial'_{\mu} \tilde{F}'^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (18)$$

$$\partial_{\mu} F^{\kappa\mu\nu} = \partial'_{\mu} F'^{\kappa\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (19)$$

This means that the equations (12) to (15) remain the same to an observer in frame K' moving arbitrarily w.r.t. respect to an observer moving in frame K . This should however be regarded as pure mathematics because there can never be a field without a source. Eqs. (14) and (15) should always be:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{D} = \rho \quad - (20)$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{H} - \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} = \underline{J} \quad - (21)$$

and these equations are generally covariant, not generally invariant. So in frame K' :

$$(\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{D} = \rho)' \quad - (22)$$

$$(\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{H} - \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} = \underline{J})' \quad - (23)$$

They retain their format, but:

$$\underline{D} \neq \underline{D}', \quad \rho \neq \rho', \quad \underline{H} \neq \underline{H}', \quad \underline{J} \neq \underline{J}' \quad - (24)$$

Also: $\underline{\nabla} \neq \underline{\nabla}', \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \neq \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)' \quad - (25)$

So eqns (24) and (25) introduce new physical effects.

Transformation of Fields

It is to be noted that the vacuum equations (12) to (15) are generally invariant, but the fields \underline{E} and \underline{D} themselves are not invariant. They transform as part of:

$$4) T^{\kappa\mu\nu'} = \left(\frac{\partial x^{\kappa'}}{\partial x^{\kappa}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu'}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right) T^{\kappa\mu\nu} \quad - (26)$$

Whereas:

$$\left(\partial_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu\nu} \right)' = 0 \quad - (27)$$

The right hand side of eq. (27) is a null tensor, all of whose components are zero. A null tensor transforms as a null tensor, because, for a null tensor $A^{\kappa\mu}$:

$$A^{\kappa\mu} = 0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial x^{\kappa'}}{\partial x^{\kappa}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^{\mu}} A^{\kappa\mu} = A^{\kappa\mu'} = 0 \right) \quad - (28)$$

For this reason, the vacuum equations (18) and (19) are generally invariant, but the fields \underline{E} and \underline{B} are generally covariant. In the Lorentz limit:

$$\underline{E}' = \underline{E} + \underline{v} \times \underline{B} \quad - (29)$$

$$\underline{B}' = \underline{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \underline{v} \times \underline{E} \quad - (30)$$

So \underline{E}' is not the same as \underline{E} and \underline{B}' is not the same as \underline{B} , even in the vacuum.