

121(5): Some Future Applications of ECE Theory

It may be possible to develop a new thermodynamics where conservation of particle number is not valid. This possibility emerges from using covariant derivatives in the continuity equation (paper 116). Also it may be possible to develop a general thermodynamics with transition of particles, with application to nuclear fusion processes. This leads to the idea of continuous creation in the universe from thermodynamics in cosmic dimensions, continuous small large. These are interesting ideas by Dr. Host Eckardt.

The Einstein formalism may be developed to include variation in a perturbation theory. For example start with the Bianchi identity and symmetric metric. A correct geometry in this case must lead to:

$$D_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = R^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (1)$$

because:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2)$$

i.e.

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

Eq. (3) is the symmetric metric introduced by Ricci and Levi-Civita in 1900. The Einstein formalism is:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = k T_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

where  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is constant and

the Einstein tensor,  $k$  is the Einstein constant and

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu} \quad (5)$$

2) is the canonical energy-momentum density tensor. Eq. (4) is based on eq. (3) and a:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu} \quad - (6)$$

where  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the metric. Therefore eq. (4) should obey eq. (1), i.e. should produce:

$$R^{\kappa\mu}{}_{\mu} = 0 \quad - (7)$$

However, contemporary computer algebra shows that:

$$R^{\kappa\mu}{}_{\mu} \neq 0 \quad - (8)$$

from eq. (4), while:

$$T^{\kappa\mu}{}_{\mu} = 0 \quad - (9)$$

from eq. (4). This is a complete disaster for Einsteinian gravitational theory, which has been replaced by ECE theory.

In eq. (4):

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} \quad - (10)$$

where  $R_{\mu\nu}$  is the Ricci tensor:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\nu\mu} \quad - (11)$$

and  $R$  is the Ricci scalar. Eq. (4) is a

3) particular solution of :

$$D^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = k D^\mu T_{\mu\nu} \quad - (12)$$

in which the "second Bianchi identity" of Riemann geometry is made proportional to the covariant version of the Noether theorem. The "second Bianchi identity" is:

$$D^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (13)$$

which is a re-expression of:

$$D_\mu R^\kappa_{\sigma\rho} + D_\rho R^\kappa_{\sigma\mu} + D_\sigma R^\kappa_{\rho\mu} = 0. \quad - (14)$$

In differential form notation, eq. (14) is:

$$D \wedge R^a_b = 0 \quad - (15)$$

The covariant Noether theorem (case of a canonical energy momentum density) is:

$$D^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (16)$$

Eq. (16) is true of and only if eq. (3) is true

It is NOT a general identity.

4) The key error is the absolute physics vs the  
 'incritical' use of eq. (3), which leads to zero torsion  
 by definition, a construction. To correct Bianchi identity  
 is the Cartan identity:

$$D \wedge T^a = R^a_b \wedge \alpha^b \neq 0 \quad - (17)$$

In the incorrect and absolute physics:

$$T^a = 0 \quad - (18)$$

by construction, so:

$$R^a_b \wedge \alpha^b = 0, \quad - (19)$$

as a tensor notation:

$$R_{\alpha\mu\rho\sigma} + R_{\rho\mu\sigma\alpha} + R_{\sigma\rho\alpha\mu} = 0 \quad - (20)$$

This is known as the absolute physics as "the first  
 Bianchi identity", but again is true if and only  
 if eq. (3) is true.

The Cartan - Evans identity is:

$$D \wedge \tilde{T}^a = \tilde{R}^a_b \wedge \alpha^b \quad - (21)$$

and is an example of eq. (17). The tilde  
 denotes the Hodge dual.

3) Eq. (21) is tensor notation is:

$$\boxed{D_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu} = R^{\kappa}_{\mu} \neq 0} \quad - (22)$$

In general:

$$T^{\kappa\mu} \neq 0, \quad R^{\kappa}_{\mu} \neq 0, \quad - (23)$$

therefore:

$$\boxed{\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu} \neq \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu}} \quad - (24)$$

Then

The connection in general relativity must be asymmetric, and the tensor must be finite.

Therefore perturbation methods can be used with eq. (3). For example, take the output for connections computed with a symmetric metric, and iterate to a solution of eq. (22). At present, all solutions of eq. (4) for finite  $T_{\mu\nu}$  give:

$$D_{\mu} T^{\kappa\mu} \neq ? \quad R^{\kappa}_{\mu} \quad - (25)$$

because of eq. (3). The connection in eq. (3) is defined by eq. (6), i.e.:

$$b) \quad (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma})_S = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (\partial_{\mu} g_{\rho\nu} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\rho\mu} - \partial_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu}) \quad - (26)$$

by assuming metric compatibility:

$$D_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} = D_{\rho} g^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad - (27)$$

The subscript  $S$  in eq. (26) denotes "symmetric" as in eq. (3). The way in which eq. (26) was derived is to use, in cyclic permutation:

$$D_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\rho} g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^{\lambda} g_{\lambda\nu} - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^{\lambda} g_{\mu\lambda} = 0 \quad - (28)$$

$$D_{\mu} g_{\rho\nu} = \partial_{\mu} g_{\rho\nu} - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\lambda} g_{\lambda\nu} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} g_{\rho\lambda} = 0 \quad - (29)$$

$$D_{\nu} g_{\rho\mu} = \partial_{\nu} g_{\rho\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda} g_{\lambda\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} g_{\rho\lambda} = 0 \quad - (30)$$

Now substitute eqs. (29) and (30) from eq. (28) and use eq. (3) to obtain eq. (26).

Therefore eq. (26) assumes a symmetric connection, leading to the incorrect result (25).

# 7) Perturbation Theory

Assume:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa} = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_S + \delta(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_A \quad (31)$$

where:

$$(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_S = (\Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\kappa})_S \quad (32)$$

$$\delta(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_A = -\delta(\Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\kappa})_A \quad (33)$$

This result follows from the fact that any square matrix can be resolved into the sum of a symmetric and antisymmetric matrix:

$$a_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ik} + a_{ki}) + \frac{1}{2}(a_{ik} - a_{ki}) \quad (34)$$

(G. Stepenson, "Mathematical Methods for Science Students" (Lagrange, 1968), p. 292, eq. (47))

Therefore for each  $\kappa, \mu$  and  $\nu$ , compute  $(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_S$  from eq. (26), and add the perturbation  $\delta(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa})_A$ . This method produces:



$$8) \quad D_{\mu} (S T^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A = (R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_S + S (R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A \quad - (35)$$

in which  $(R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_S$  is computed from eq. (26) in the Maxima code of pages 93, 95, 117 and 120. Therefore:

$$\boxed{D_{\mu} (S T^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A - (S R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A = (R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_S} \quad - (36)$$

In eq. (36):

$$(T^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A = 2 (T^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A \quad - (37)$$

and:

$$(R^{\rho \sigma \mu \nu})_A = d_{\mu} (\Gamma^{\rho \mu \sigma})_A - d_{\nu} (\Gamma^{\rho \mu \sigma})_A + (\Gamma^{\rho \mu \lambda})_A (\Gamma^{\lambda \mu \sigma})_A - (\Gamma^{\rho \mu \lambda})_A (\Gamma^{\lambda \mu \sigma})_A \quad - (38)$$

with:

$$(R^{\kappa \mu \nu})_A = g^{\mu \alpha} g^{\nu \beta} (R^{\kappa \mu \alpha \beta})_A \quad - (39)$$

To define a symmetric convention, we do find that  $T^{\kappa \mu \nu}$  is proportional to a rotation generator and angular momentum / energy density, and so may be modelled by rotational motion.