

66(2): Second Bianchi Identity, Equivalence of Cartan  
and Riemann Geometry.

Cartan Geometry

$$d \wedge R^a_b + \omega^a_c \wedge R^c_b - R^a_c \wedge \omega^c_b := 0 \quad (1)$$

Riemann Geometry

$$D_\rho R^\kappa_{\sigma\mu\nu} + D_\mu R^\kappa_{\sigma\nu\rho} + D_\nu R^\kappa_{\sigma\rho\mu} := 0 \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) and (2) are fully equivalent in the presence of both curvature and torsion. Eq (1) can be written as:

$$\boxed{D \wedge R^a_b := 0} \quad (3)$$

Proof

Firstly translate to tensor notation:

$$d \wedge R^a_b = \partial_\mu R^a_{b\nu\sigma} + \partial_\sigma R^a_{b\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu R^a_{b\sigma\mu}$$

$$R^a_c \wedge \omega^c_b = R^a_{c\mu\nu} \omega^c_b + R^a_{c\sigma\mu} \omega^c_{\nu b} + R^a_{c\nu\sigma} \omega^c_{\mu b}$$

$$\omega^a_c \wedge R^c_b = \omega^a_{\mu c} R^c_{b\nu\sigma} + \omega^a_{\sigma c} R^c_{b\mu\nu} + \omega^a_{\nu c} R^c_{b\sigma\mu} \quad (4)$$

and evaluate:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu R^a_{b\nu\sigma} + \omega^a_{\mu c} R^c_{b\nu\sigma} - R^a_{c\nu\sigma} \omega^c_{\mu b} \\ + \dots \dots \dots := 0 \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Use the definitions:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R^a{}_{b\nu\sigma} &= \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \eta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} \\ R^c{}_{b\nu\sigma} &= \eta^c{}_{\kappa} \eta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} \\ R^a{}_{c\nu\sigma} &= \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \eta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma}, \end{aligned} \right\} - (6)$$

and the tetrad postulates:

$$d_{\mu} \eta^a{}_{\lambda} + \omega_{\mu c}^a \eta^c{}_{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} \eta^a{}_{\nu} = 0 - (7)$$

$$d_{\mu} \eta^c{}_{\lambda} + \omega_{\mu b}^c \eta^b{}_{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} \eta^c{}_{\nu} = 0 - (8)$$

From (7):

$$\omega_{\mu c}^a = \eta^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} \left( \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} \eta^a{}_{\nu} - d_{\mu} \eta^a{}_{\lambda} \right). - (9)$$

From (8):

$$\omega_{\mu b}^c = \eta^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} \left( \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} \eta^c{}_{\nu} - d_{\mu} \eta^c{}_{\lambda} \right). - (10)$$

Differentiating:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mu} \left( \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \eta^{\tau}{}_{\nu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} \right) &= \left( d_{\mu} \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \right) \eta^{\tau}{}_{\nu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} \\ &+ \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \left( d_{\mu} \eta^{\tau}{}_{\nu} \right) R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} + \eta^a{}_{\kappa} \eta^{\tau}{}_{\nu} d_{\mu} R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} \end{aligned} - (11)$$

$$= d_{\mu} R^a{}_{b\nu\sigma}$$

3) The second and third terms in eq (5) are:

$$\omega_{\mu c}^a R^c{}_{b\nu\sigma} = v_c^\lambda (\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu - d_\mu v_\lambda^a) v_d^c v_b^\beta R^d{}_{\beta\nu\sigma} \quad - (12)$$

and

$$-R^a{}_{c\nu\sigma} \omega_{\mu b}^c = -v_\kappa^a v_c^\mu R^{\kappa}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} v_b^\lambda (\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu v_\omega^c - d_\mu v_\lambda^c) \quad - (13)$$

Add eqs (11) to (13) and cancel terms as follows.

$$v^\tau_b (d_\mu v^a{}_\kappa) R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} - v_c^\lambda (d_\mu v_\lambda^a) v_d^c v_b^\beta R^d{}_{\beta\nu\sigma} = 0 \quad - (14)$$

using the dummy index transformations:

$$d \rightarrow \kappa, \quad \beta \rightarrow \tau, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \kappa \quad - (15)$$

$$\text{and} \quad v_c^\kappa v_\kappa^c = 1; \quad - (16)$$

and:

$$v_\kappa^a (d_\mu v^\tau_b) R^{\kappa}{}_{\tau\nu\sigma} + v_\kappa^a v_c^\mu v_b^\lambda R^{\kappa}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} (d_\mu v_\lambda^c) = 0 \quad - (17)$$

$$\text{using:} \quad \mu \rightarrow \tau \quad - (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and:} \quad d_\mu v^\tau_b &= -v^\tau_c v_b^\lambda d_\mu v^c{}_\lambda \quad - (19) \\ &= -v^\tau_c v_b^\lambda d_\mu v_\lambda^c. \end{aligned}$$

To prove eq. (19) use:

4)

$$v^{\tau} v^b v^{\tau} = 1, \quad - (20)$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} (v^{\tau} v^b v^{\tau}) &= v^b \partial_{\mu} v^{\tau} + v^{\tau} \partial_{\mu} v^b \\ &= 0 \quad - (21) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_{\mu} v^{\tau} v^b + v^{\tau} v^b \partial_{\mu} v^{\tau} = 0 \quad - (22)$$

i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} v^{\tau} v^b &= -v^{\tau} v^c \partial_{\mu} v^c \\ &= -v^{\tau} v^c v^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} v^c \end{aligned} \quad - (23)$$

Q. E. D.

So the sum in eq. (5) is:

$$\begin{aligned} v^a v^{\tau} v^b \partial_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} + v^{\lambda} v^c v^d v^b v^a \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} R^d_{\rho\nu\sigma} \\ - v^a v^{\tau} v^c v^{\lambda} v^b v^{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} R^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu\sigma} \\ + \dots \quad = 0. \end{aligned} \quad - (24)$$

Using dummy index transformation this sum is:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} + v^{\lambda} v^a v^{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} \\ - v^{\lambda} v^b v^{\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} + \dots = 0 \end{aligned} \quad - (25)$$

The covariant derivative of the Riemann tensor is:

5)

$$D_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} = \partial_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\lambda\sigma} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\sigma} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\lambda} - (26)$$

The first term in eq. (25) is given by the dummy index transformation:

$$\lambda \rightarrow \nu, \nu \rightarrow \lambda \quad - (27)$$

and becomes the second term on the RHS of eq. (26).

Using the antisymmetry of the Riemann tensor in its last two indices the second term in eq. (25) is:

$$\begin{aligned} -g^{\lambda\alpha} g^{\alpha\nu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\sigma\nu} \\ = -\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\lambda} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\sigma\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad - (28)$$

$$\text{If } \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda} := \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\mu} \quad - (29)$$

this term becomes:  $-\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\sigma\lambda}$ .

Using eqns (2) and (26) it is seen that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \boxed{\partial_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\lambda\sigma} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\sigma} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\nu\lambda}} \\ & + \partial_{\sigma} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\sigma\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\lambda\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\sigma\nu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\mu\lambda} \\ & + \partial_{\nu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\sigma\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\sigma} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\lambda\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\tau\sigma\lambda} \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad - (30)$$

6) So the two terms in eq. (5) produce the two extra terms in eq. (30). This completes the proof, Q.E.D.

### Discussion

The Cartan equation (3) is much simpler than the Riemann equation (30), but contains the same information. The tetrad postulate is needed to demonstrate the equivalence of Riemann & Cartan geometry.

---