APPLICATIONS OF THE ECE INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE

by

Myron W. Evans

British Government Treasury

and

Alpha Institute for Advanced Study (www.aias.us and emyrone@aol.com)

ABSTRACT

The invariance principle of the Einstein Cartan Evans (ECE) unified field theory states that the tetrad postulate is invariant under the general coordinate transformation. It is shown that the invariance principle implies a global component in the definition of phase in physics. This global component is the origin of several well known effects such as the class of Aharonov Bohm effects. The phase in optics and electrodynamics must be defined in such a way as to conserve parity inversion symmetry, and it is shown that the correct definition of phase in this context is in terms of the global component from the invariance principle and the fundamental ECE spin field. The global component is always related to a local component of phase through a Stokes Theorem with covariant derivative. The global component of phase in quantum mechanics implies the existence of a global action or angular momentum. The latter is the global phase multiplied by the reduced Planck constant. Quantum entanglement and one photon interferometric effects are explained with the global action. The latter is derived from general relativity.

Keywords: Invariance principle, ECE theory, covariant Stokes Theorem, global action and angular momentum.

Paper 72 of ECE

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The tetrad postulate is fundamental to standard Cartan (or differential) geometry {1-11}. Without it, Cartan geometry does not reduce correctly to Riemann geometry, so without it, Cartan geometry would be meaningless, a reduction to absurdity. As is well known {1}, Cartan geometry is self-consistently defined by its two structure equations and two Bianchi identities, together with the tetrad postulate. The tetrad postulate is fundamental because it is a statement of the fact that a complete vector field must be independent of its components and basis elements. For example, a vector field in three dimensional Euclidean geometry can be expressed either in terms of Cartesian coordinates:

or spherical polar coordinates:

$$V = V_{\theta} e_{\theta} + V_{\phi} e_{\phi} + V_{\epsilon} e_{\epsilon} - (2)$$

but is the same vector field. Here  $V_{\times}$ ,  $V_{7}$ ,  $V_{7}$  are the Cartesian vector components, i, j and k are the Cartesian unit vectors (the basis elements). Similarly,  $V_{6}$ ,  $V_{7}$ , are the spherical polar vector components, and  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{6}$ ,  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{6}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{7}$  are the spherical polar unit vectors {12}. The tetrad postulate is the result of extending this fact to more than three dimensions and to a more general base manifold {1}. It states that the covariant derivative of the tetrad vanishes:

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} q^{\alpha} = 0. \qquad -(3)$$

The tetrad is a rank two mixed index tensor, one index a represents the tangent space-time, a Minkowski space-time, and the other index represents the base manifold. The tetrad postulate is true for any number of dimensions, but in ECE theory and general relativity there

are four dimensions, one time dimension and three space dimensions.

It follows  $\{11\}$  from Eq. ( 3 ) that the tetrad postulate is invariant under any type of coordinate transformation:

and this is the invariance principle of ECE theory. In other words the tetrad postulate is an invariant of general relativity and Cartan geometry. Invariants play an important role in relativistic physics, examples being the two Casimir invariants of the Poincare group of special relativity, the mass and spin invariants {1}}. In Section 2 it is shown that the invariance principle leads to the existence of a global component of phase in physics. This global component is always related to a local component through a Stokes theorem with the correctly defined covariant derivative and correctly defined path of integration. So the local and global components both derive from the field theory of general relativity. Action at a distance is not needed, and the constancy of the speed of light does not conflict with global effects in physics. The distinction between local and global is therefore artificial and redundant. The constancy of the speed of light has been verified experimentally in special relativity to an accuracy of one part in nearly thirty orders of magnitude. In general relativity the constancy of the speed of light has been verified experimentally by NASA Cassini to one part in about 100,000. The photon mass is therefore also known to this accuracy by implication, although the numerical value of the photon mass is known only through the experimental fact that it must be less than a certain number of kilograms. These data therefore show that the field theory of relativity is the most accurate theory by far in physics. ECE theory {2-11} is an extension of the original Einstein Hilbert (EH) field theory of general relativity (1916) to include the Cartan torsion. In so doing the EH theory of gravitation is

extended to a generally covariant unified field theory of all the fundamental force fields of physics: gravitation, electromagnetism, weak and strong fields, and matter fields. ECE therefore introduces a new physics wherein the interaction between the fundamental fields can be understood in causal terms, covariantly and objectively as demanded by the Einsteinian and Baconian principles of natural philosophy. ECE also unifies quantum mechanics and relativity by using the tetrad postulate to construct the fundamental wave equation of physics, the ECE wave equation {2-11}. The latter is based on the ECE Lemma which is straightforwardly derived from the tetrad postulate. It has been shown that the well known wave equations of physics, such as the Dirac, Schrödinger and Proca equations, are limits of the ECE wave equation. The global component of phase in optics and electrodynamics is given in terms of the fundamental ECE spin field B {2-11}. The global component of phase,  $\lambda$ , implies in quantum mechanics the existence of a global action or angular momentum,  $\mathcal{L}d$ , where h is the reduced Planck constant. The phase d is related to the spin connection of the spinning spacetime that defines electromagnetism in ECE theory. A simple example is given of the relation between d and the spin connection for the potential plane wave in electrodynamics.

In Section 3 some discussion is given of the role of  $\Diamond$  in the Aharonov Bohm effects, quantum entanglement, the topological phases, and one photon Young interferometric effects.

# 2. THE GLOBAL AND LOCAL PHASES IN PHYSICS.

The invariance principle ( \( \forall \) means that the tetrad must transform as follows under arbitrary change of coordinates:

4

where of must be independent of space and time, i.e. independent of

$$x^{n} = (d, X, Y, Z). - (6)$$

Since there is no preferred frame of reference in relativity theory the tetrad takes the form (5) in general: the global phase (sin general non-zero. It is termed "global" because in the received description, "global" is applied to an object that is not dependent on , "local" to an object that depends on , It is shown in this section that these descriptions are arbitrary and redundant, because the global and local phases are always linked by a Stokes theorem with covariant derivatives. Thus, global effects are implied by the local field theory of relativity, and there is no contradiction between relativity and quantum mechanics, no contradiction between local and global effects in relativity. These deductions are shown to follow from the invariance principle of geometry. In the received description, global effects are also described as "non-local" and the latter may be described in terms of . In special relativity this is not possible, because the spin connection is missing, the space-time of special relativity is the Minkowski space-time, and this is flat and static.

The invariance principle implies that the lagrangian density ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) is invariant under the general coordinate transformation because  $\{2-11\}$ :

where T is the index reduced canonical energy momentum defined by:

$$R = -kT \qquad -(8)$$

where R defined by the ECE Lemma and where k is the Einstein constant. The Euler Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{JJ}{J\sqrt[3]{a}} = D^{n} \left( \frac{JJ}{J(D^{n}\sqrt[3]{a})} \right) - (9)$$

then correctly gives the covariant derivative of the tetrad postulate as the following identity:

$$\mathcal{D}^{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{n} \propto^{a}\right) := 0. - (10)$$

From Eq ( ) the ECE Lemma is obtained straightforwardly {2-11}:

where R is a scalar curvature defined by:

$$R := \sqrt[3]{a} \sqrt[3]{a} \left( \sqrt[3]{a} \sqrt[3]{a} - \omega_{\mu b} \sqrt[3]{a} \right) - (10)$$

Here his the generally defined gamma connection of Riemann geometry and his the spin connection of Cartan geometry. The gamma connection is the Christoffel connection if and only if it is symmetric in its lower two indices:

In this limit the torsion tensor vanishes:

and this is the limit that defines the EH theory. More generally the Riemann torsion tensor and the Cartan torsion form are non-zero, and this defines ECE theory.

The action is defined {2-12} as:

$$S = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathcal{L} d^4x - (15)$$

in S.I. units, where c is the speed of light, the universal constant of the field theory of relativity (Einstein, 1905). Under the general coordinate transformation the action is changed in general to:

$$S \rightarrow S' = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathcal{L} d^4x - (16)$$

It has been shown {2-11} that the tetrad propagates in general through the equation:

$$q_{\mu}^{\alpha}\left(x^{\mu}\right) = \exp\left(i\frac{S}{\pi}\left(x^{\mu}\right)\right)q_{\mu}^{\alpha}\left(o\right) - \left(\Pi\right)$$

which is an equation that combines the Fermat and Hamilton principles of least time and action respectively. These principles are at the root of quantum mechanics as is well known.

Under the general coordinate transformation therefore, Eq. (17) is changed to:

$$\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}\left(x^{n'}\right) = \exp\left(i\left(\frac{S(x^{n})}{t} + d\right)\right)\sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}\left(0\right) - \left(18\right)$$

and since there is no preferred frame of reference the tetrad is in general:

$$\sqrt{\frac{q}{R}} = \exp\left(i\left(\frac{S(x^n)}{R} + d\right)\right) \sqrt[q]{(0)} - (19)$$

Under the transformation ( $\cent{N}$ ) the lagrangian density ( $\cent{7}$ ) is always invariant. Comparing Eqs. ( $\cent{N}$ 6) and ( $\cent{N}$ 9) it is seen that the integral over the four volume  $\cent{N}$ 4 must be invariant, although its time and space components are individually changed by the general coordinate transformation. Therefore the action is invariant under the general coordinate transformation when the lagrangian density is defined by Eq. ( $\cent{N}$ 7). The latter gives the correct identity ( $\cent{N}$ 9) using the Euler Lagrange equation ( $\cent{N}$ 9).

The phase defined in Eq. ( 19) contains a local part 
$$S(x)$$
 that is a function of

7.

and a global part that is not a function of the coordinate transformation, as we have seen, so the local part (changes under the general coordinate transformation. These are the general properties of phase of any type in physics, for example the electrodynamic and fermionic phases and the topological phases and in the wave-functions of quantum mechanics. They are also present in the gravitational, weak and strong fields. The electromagnetic potential plane wave propagating in Z, for example, must be defined in general by:

$$\frac{A^{(i)}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{A^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{i}{2} - i \frac{i}{2} \right) exp \left( i \left( \omega t - \kappa z + d \right) \right) - (20)$$

where cA has the units of volts in S.I., and where  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of the plane wave at instant t, and where  $\kappa$  is the wave-number magnitude at Z. For a plane wave of this type the general coordinate transformation reduces to the Lorentz transformation under which:

as is well known. However  $\bigcirc$  changes as we have argued. ECE theory has shown {2-11} that this type of plane wave is an example of a tetrad defined by the rotation of a frame with respect to a frame that defines the handedness of the plane wave (i.e. its left or right circular polarization). In the generally covariant ECE unified field theory all potential fields are tetrads. The electromagnetic field itself is defined from the tetrad potential field through the first structure relation of Cartan geometry as follows:

So the electromagnetic field is the Cartan torsion within the factor A

$$F^{\alpha} = A^{(0)} T^{\alpha} - (23)$$

and the electromagnetic potential field is the Cartan tetrad through the same factor A

$$A_{\mu}^{a} = A^{(0)} q_{\mu}^{a} - (2\mu)$$

For pure rotational motion {2-11} the spin connection is dual as follows to the tetrad:

so it is seen that the spin connection must be a function of  $\alpha$ :

$$\omega_{\mu b}^{a} = \omega_{\mu b}^{a}(\alpha). - (26)$$

This is true for all fields, the spin connection has a global component that depends on **X** as well as a local component.

It has been shown {2-11} that the Aharonov Bohm effects, quantum entanglement, one photon Young interferometry, the Sagnac effect (ring laser gyro), the Faraday disk effect and similar are all due to the spin connection of ECE theory, so they are all related to the global  $\Diamond$  which derives from the invariance principle. Under the general coordinate transformation the spin connection changes as follows:

$$\omega_{\mu b}^{a} = e^{ik} \omega_{\mu b}^{a} \cdot -(27)$$

The archetypical quantum entanglement effect for example is observed when two particles are well separated in distance and still appear to be correlated in their dynamics (for example

spins). It is inferred that this correlation takes place through  $\bigwedge$ , which does not depend on distance and time. Similar effects of  $\bigwedge$  are seen in one photon Young interferometry. In this case, interferograms are observed even though only one photon is present and can pass through only one aperture out of two. The photon in ECE theory is defined by the ECE wave equation:

$$\left(\Box + k\tau\right)A^{\alpha} = 0 - (38)$$

where A is the wave-function and potential field. When the electromagnetic field is free of the influence of any other field, for example a matter field or gravitational field, Eq. (28) reduces to:

$$\left( \Box + m^{2} c^{3} \right) A^{9} = 0 - \left( 29 \right)$$

because

for a free electromagnetic field. Eq. ( 39) is the well known Proca equation {2-11} for each polarization index a. In Eq. ( 39) the particulate part of the photon is defined by the eigen-value, which contains the photon mass, and the wave or undulatory part of the photon by the eigen-function 39. As seen from the example given in Eq. ( 39), the wave part contains the global and the particulate "core" of the photon does not. Therefore the particulate part passes through the opening of one aperture of the Young interferometer, while the wave part sets up the interferogram by going through both apertures. This means that both particle and wave are simultaneously observable, contradicting the Bohr Heisenberg indeterminacy experimentally. The distinction between particle and wave becomes arbitrary and redundant. It is this artificial distinction that has caused so much debate in physics over

the centuries. The wave part, as we have argued, always contains a local and global component. These will be defined more precisely later in this section, but firstly some remarks are made on the gauge principle.

The standard model uses the gauge principle, which asserts that the action is invariant under the local gauge transformation of a field  $\checkmark$  as follows:

$$\psi' = \sigma(x^n)\psi. - (31)$$

This is usually applied as a principle of special relativity {13} and

$$\partial_{\mu}\psi' = \sigma\partial_{\mu}\phi + (\partial_{\mu}\sigma)\psi - (32)$$

is not covariant because of is a function of x. Gauge theory then introduces a type of covariant derivative in Minkowski space-time through which:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi' = \sigma \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\psi. \qquad -(33)$$

In electrodynamics for example it is usually assumed that the gauge theory is U(1) invariant

$$D_{\mu}' = \partial_{\mu} - ig A_{\mu}, -(34)$$
 $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig A_{\mu}, -(35)$ 

Thus:

$$A_{\mu}' = \sigma A_{\mu} \sigma^{-1} - \frac{i}{g} \left( \partial_{\mu} \sigma \right) \sigma^{-1} - \left( 36 \right)$$

in accordance with the Maxwell-Heaviside (MH) field equations. This is the way that the potential field is introduced in the standard model and it has been shown {2-11} that the method is fraught with shortcomings and contradictions which are not present in ECE theory. For example, the standard model's gauge principle cannot produce the Proca equation

because the required action is not invariant under the gauge transformation. This conflicts with the fact that NASA Cassini has verified the existence of photon mass to great accuracy. Even though the photon mass is known only to be less than a certain value, its existence has been verified to this accuracy because NASA Cassini measured light deflection due to gravitation in the solar system to this accuracy. This deflection does not occur without photon mass, and is caused by the gravitational attraction of the photon of mass m and sun of mass M in EH theory. Another well known shortcoming of the gauge theory and standard model {12} of electromagnetism is that the potential field is not manifestly or fully covariant. Only two of its four components are considered to be physical in canonical quantization - the transverse components by the Gupta Bleuler method {13}. This problem is again caused by the neglect of photon mass, a "massless particle" (the photon) in special relativity only has two states of polarization, and these are asserted to be the transverse parts. In ECE theory there are four components of the potential field as required by manifest covariance, and the photon mass is identically non-zero as required by NASA Cassini. Therefore in ECE there are no problems {2-11} with canonical or second quantization. Therefore the gauge principle should be replaced by the more fundamental invariance principle throughout physics.

It has been argued that the phase contains a local and global part, for example as

follows:

$$\phi = \omega t - \underline{r} \cdot \underline{r} + \alpha \cdot \underline{-} (37)$$

The complete phase factor is therefore:

$$\sum_{k} = \exp\left(i\left(ct - \kappa \cdot \underline{c} + d\right)\right) - \left(38\right)$$

In quantum mechanics the action is therefore:

There therefore exists a global action, or global angular momentum:

which is a property of the field theory of relativity. As argued already there is no reason to assume that d is zero and the existence of the global action may depend on the curving or spinning of space-time. The latter may be thought of as a rotational transformation that results in the rotation of the coordinate system itself. Thus d is a rotation generator in this context. If there is no spinning of space-time the spin connection is zero and there is no global action, no Cartan torsion, no electromagnetic field and no electromagnetic potential. When space-time is spinning the tetrad must always include the global phase. An example of the tetrad in this context is:

Therefore, whenever there is a spin connection, the phase component  $\alpha$  is present. The standard model uses special relativity to describe electrodynamics, namely the Maxwell Heaviside field theory in which a potential plane wave is described by:

$$\underline{A} = \underline{A^{(0)}} \left( \underline{i} - i \underline{i} \right) p_{xp} \left( i \left( \omega t - \kappa z \right) \right) - (42)$$

without a global component d being inferred. The magnetic field of MH theory for example is the vector curl of the potential as is well known:

$$\underline{B} = \underline{Z} \times \underline{A} . \qquad -(43)$$

In general relativity (ECE theory) the Cartan torsion from the tetrad of Eq. ( 41) is:

and the magnetic field develops a component from the spin connection {2-11}:

Plane waves, for example, may be described in ECE theory using the complex circular basis:

$$a = (1), (2), (3) - (46)$$

in which there are complex conjugate potential fields as follows:

The are complex conjugate potential fields as follows:
$$A^{(i)} = A^{(o)} \left( \underline{i} - i \underline{j} \right) & p \left( i \left( \omega t - \kappa z + d \right) \right)$$

$$A^{(a)} = A^{(o)} \left( \underline{i} + i \underline{j} \right) & p \left( -i \left( \omega t - \kappa z + d \right) \right)$$

$$A^{(a)} = A^{(o)} \left( \underline{i} + i \underline{j} \right) & p \left( -i \left( \omega t - \kappa z + d \right) \right)$$
(48)

When the electromagnetic field is free of any other field (notably gravitation), the spin connection is dual to the potential and the equations of O(3) electrodynamics {2-11} are

obtained:
$$\frac{B}{B} \stackrel{(i)*}{=} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A} \stackrel{(i)*}{=} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(3)} \times \underline{A}_{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$

$$\underline{B}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(3)} \times \underline{A}_{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$

$$\underline{B}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(i)} \times \underline{A}_{(3)} - (5^{\circ})$$
where:
$$\frac{B}{B} \stackrel{(i)*}{=} - \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(i)} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$

$$\underline{B}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(i)} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$

$$\underline{B}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(i)} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$

$$\underline{B}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \overline{V} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(i)}^{(i)} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(i)} - (5^{\circ})$$
where:
$$\underline{G}_{(3)}^{(3)*} = \underline{V}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - ig \underbrace{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} \times \underline{A}_{(3)}^{(3)*} - (5^{\circ})$$

The potentials (3), (3), (3), (3) are components in the complex circular basis of the spin connection of spinning space-time. Therefore Eqs. (49) to (52) are the required relations between the spin connection and (3). This illustration for plane waves shows that the phase of the vector potential and magnetic field both contain the global component (3) in general relativity. This phase does not occur in special relativity, Maxwell Heaviside theory is a pure local theory. ECE theory unifies local and global properties as we have argued. The (3) phase generates the global action (3) in quantum mechanics. In the standard model such a concept is not present because in the standard model, general relativity is not unified with quantum mechanics.

These findings are consistent with previous work  $\{2\text{-}11\}$  where the topological phases, Aharonov Bohm effects, Sagnac effect, Faraday disk generator, the electromagnetic phase, quantum entanglement, Aspect experiment, one photon interferometry and inverse Faraday effect for example have all been described in terms of the spin connection of ECE theory, i.e. in terms of spinning space-time. The latter also gives the ECE field equations of electrodynamics unified with gravitation and all other fields. It is now seen that ECE has global and local features and so these are inherent in all these experimental effects. A pure local phase:  $\phi = \omega t - \kappa \tau$ 

has been shown {2-11} to be fundamentally inconsistent with parity inversion symmetry in such well known effects as reflection and Snell's Law and Michelson interferometry. This inconsistency is removed by using an electromagnetic phase based on the Stokes Theorem with covariant derivatives {2-11}:

$$\oint q = \int D q = \int T - (54)$$

in shorthand (indexless) notation. Here the subscript DS denotes a contour integral and the subscript S a surface integral. Eq ( 54) may be thought of as the integral form of the Cartan structure relation:

$$T = D \wedge Q := d \wedge Q + \omega \wedge Q.$$

$$-(SS)$$

All types of phase must be defined by this type of Stokes Theorem because of the need to conserve parity {2-11} so the component must also be described in this way:

$$d = \kappa \int T. \qquad -(56)$$

Although q and T both depend on distance and time, the dependence is integrated out in the surface integral, which is therefore "global". As shown in previous work {2-11}, the contour integral gives the  $\mbox{$\mathcal{K}$}$ 7, part of the phase which is therefore "local" because of its Z dependence. The global and local parts of the phase are always linked by the Stokes Theorem. In order to apply these concepts the area used in the area integral has been defined {2-11} by a helical path whose arc length is the same as the circumference of a circle enclosing the area. The integration is defined {2-11} along the following closed path:



Only the line from B to A contributes, the contour integral around the helix vanishes. The line from B to A is along the Z axis for propagation in this direction. This procedure means that there must be a finite area to the light beam as required experimentally. In the standard model the plane wave solution of the MH equations is a mathematical concept in which the beam is not defined laterally. In contrast, the beam is properly defined laterally by Fig. (1) in ECE theory, the helix can represent the electromagnetic field or the physical helix of the Tomita

Chiao effect in which the topological phase is observed {2-11}.

$$\phi = \exp\left(ig\int \underline{A}^{(3)} \cdot d\underline{r}\right) = \exp\left(ig\int \underline{B}^{(3)} \cdot \underline{k} \, dA_{r}\right)$$
(3)

so the area integral is over the ECE spin field B with the area defined already. This is now seen to be a global property because the integral over the magnetic flux density B in tesla (weber per square meter) is a magnetic flux in weber with no x dependence.

Therefore the global  $\sqrt{\ }$  is:

$$d = g \int \underline{B}^{(3)} \cdot \underline{k} \, dAr \cdot - (58)$$

Its origin in Cartan geometry is the surface integral over the spin connection term of the first Cartan structure equation:

$$d = \kappa \int \omega \wedge \varphi . \qquad -(59)$$

From the invariance principle,  $\mathbf{Q}$  also originates in the general coordinate transformation of the tetrad postulate. The contour integral on the other hand is local (x dependent)

because:

$$g \oint \underline{A^{(3)}} \cdot d\underline{r} = KZ, -(60)$$

along the path defined in Fig (1). As argued already only the part from A to B along the Z axis contributes to the contour integral, resulting in a Z dependence (locality) and the XZ part of the local phase. This is the part that causes propagation. There is also a time dependent part  $\omega t$  of the local phase as is well known. Only space properties are considered in Eq. (57) because these are the properties that must conserve parity inversion symmetry in

phenomena such as reflection {2-11}.

Using the definition of the ECE spin field {2-11}:

$$\underline{B}^{(3)*} = -ig \underline{A}^{(1)} \times \underline{A}^{(2)} - (61)$$

Eq. ( 57) becomes:

$$\phi = \exp\left(i\kappa\phi \frac{k}{k}\cdot d\Gamma\right) = \exp\left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{A}{2}}\right) \times \frac{A}{2} \cdot \frac{k}{k} dAr$$
.

It is seen that the global area integral has no dependence on phase, because the latter vanishes (1) (2) in the cross product of complex conjugates A and A . In non-linear optics this known as the conjugate product {2-11}. The ECE phase in electrodynamics is closely related to the class of Aharonov Bohm effects. In the well known Chambers experiment for example a solenoid is placed between two electron beams, whose Young interferogram is shifted. The magnetic flux density is confined inside the solenoid. The local contour integral of Eq. (62) in this case is along the length of the solenoid, and is confined to the solenoid, but the global area integral extends laterally outside the solenoid into areas where the magnetic flux density itself is zero. The global area integral causes the shift in the interferogram as follows.

The magnetic flux in weber of ECE theory is {2-11}

$$\overline{\Phi}^{a} = \int_{S} F^{a} = \int_{S} A^{a} + \int_{S} C^{a} b \wedge A^{b} - (63)$$

In the Chambers experiment the magnetic flux density F is confined to an inner region defined by the solenoid. However, as argued, there exists a magnetic flux:

outside this region. The observed shift in the electron diffraction pattern of the Chambers experiment is therefore:

$$S = x \in \int_{S} \omega^{a} b \wedge A^{b} - (65)$$

and is therefore a global phenomenon depending directly on as follows:

$$\delta = xd$$
 — (66)

where x is determined by the experimental configuration used (distance between the apertures of the Young interferometer and so forth). Therefore the magnetic Aharonov Bohm effect observed by Chambers is due to an outer region magnetic flux caused by this sense a "global" effect. However as argued the terms "global" and "local" are redundant in ECE theory, both effects are due to the spinning of space-time and are inter-related by a Stokes theorem with covariant derivative and correctly defined contour of integration, Figure (1). None of these concepts are available in MH theory, which is unable {2-11} to describe the class of Aharonov Bohm effects. This is an illustration of the effectiveness of general relativity as opposed to special relativity in electrodynamics.

The contour integral is always the correct way to describe the Z dependent part of the phase as follows:

$$KZ = K \oint \frac{k}{k} \cdot dr - (67)$$

for propagation in the Z axis. Applying the parity inversion operator {2-11} produces:

and correctly produces the reflection of a beam of light at a mirror and the observed

interferogram in Michelson interferometry for example, or Snell's Law. In the standard model on the other hand:

$$\hat{\rho}(\kappa z) = \kappa z - (69)$$

because:

$$\hat{f}(K) = -K, \hat{f}(L) = -L - (70)$$

and there is no interferogram, contrary to observation because the beam is not reflected ( K2 stays the same, reflection means that K2 must reverse sign). In other words there is no reversal of propagation with the standard model's phase ( 69), but there is the required reversal of propagation in the ECE phase ( 68). This result is true not only in electrodynamics, but in any situation in physics where a propagating wave is reflected. In this context reflection along Z is the same as parity inversion along Z. If a beam of light for example originates in O and is normally reflected from a perfectly reflecting mirror at point Z, the contour integral of Fig (1) changes as follows:

but in the standard model there is no change:

$$KZ - KZ = 0 - (72)$$

contrary to observation of the Michelson interferogram  $\{14\}$ , in which 2 K is observed experimentally as is well known. The routine and uncritical use of the phase K has been a "hidden error" in physics and is another illustration of the effectiveness of ECE theory. The origin of this error is the failure to realize that the wave-number vector  $\underline{K}$  changes sign

with parity as well as the vector r:

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z}) = -\mathbf{K}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) = -\mathbf{Z} - (\mathbf{z})$$
so:
$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z}) = \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{K} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{z} - (\mathbf{z})$$

and does not change sign, contrary to basic observation such as reflection in a mirror.

In previous work {2-11} these various concepts were synthesized into the ECE phase law:

$$\phi = \exp\left(i\phi \kappa \cdot dr\right) = \exp\left(i\int \kappa^2 dAr\right) - (75)$$

and it can now be inferred that the area integral of the ECE phase law is its global part. Its local part is the contour integral. The two parts are always related by a Stokes theorem with correctly covariant derivative that takes account of the spin connection as argued. So all phases in physics are related to the spin connection of general relativity. Otherwise, as we have seen, a phenomenon such as reflection cannot be described correctly. The Berry phase for example is, essentially {2-11}:

$$\theta = \kappa \int ds = R / dAr - (76)$$

where R is a scalar curvature with the units of inverse square meters. In previous work {2-11} the topological phase of Tomita and Chiao was described in terms of Eq. (75). The well known Tomita Chiao experiment is considered to be the first observation of the Berry phase in a helical optical fiber. The path of the optical fiber is described by Fig. (1). Therefore the class of topological phases are all local / global phenomena, along with all types of phase in

physics. This inference is based directly on Cartan geometry because Eq. (54) is the integral form of the first Cartan structure equation.

## 3. A BRIEF DISCUSSION OF SOME GLOBAL EFFECTS IN PHYSICS

A unified description of several effects emerges from the invariance principle defined in Eq. ( 4). These include archetypical global effects such as quantum entanglement, in which quantum spins for example may be correlated in particles separated by an arbitrarily large distance. Quantum entanglement is now seen as a global phase effect using the general phase law (75). Whenever the global phase appears, the spin connection also appears. As argued there is a global action or angular momentum in quantum mechanics. The topological phases may also be seen as global effects, and any type of phase in physics. Therefore the correct description of phase in physics emanates from the invariance principle. In an effect such as that of Sagnac, the spinning of the platform of the Sagnac interferometer is considered to be the spinning of space-time itself {2-11}, because all effects in correctly relativistic physics are movements of the frame of reference itself. Another phenomenon of this type is the Faraday disk generator {2-11}, which has no explanation in Maxwell Heaviside field theory. The inverse Faraday effect is due to the spin field B . The latter is phase-less and has no distance and time dependence for this reason. In this sense, it too can now be considered to be a local / global phenomenon, and as argued, the surface integral of the spin field is related to a contour integral over A . It has been shown in this paper that there is no contradiction between the field theory of relativity and global effects in physics. and ECE theory also allows general relativity and quantum mechanics to be satisfactorily unified in a causal objective manner. There are several experimental observations available now which refute the Bohr Heisenberg indeterminacy, so the concept must be abandoned. In one photon Young interferometry for example the photon as particle and the photon as wave

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The British Government is thanked for the appointment to the Civil List (2005) and the staff of AIAS and many other colleagues for numerous interesting discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- {1} S. P. Carroll. "Space-time and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity" (Addison-Wesley, New York, 2004), Cartan geometry is introduced in chapter 3.
- {2} M. W. Evans, "Generally Covariant Unified Field Theory" (Abramis, 2005), vol. 1.
- {3} ibid., vols. 2 and 3, (2006), vol. 4 (in prep., papers 55 70 of www.aias.us)
- {4} L. Felker, "The Evans Equations of Unified Field Theory" (www.aias.us).
- {5} H. Eckardt and L. Felker, articles and workshop slides on www.aias.us.
- {6} M. W. Evans, "Invariance of the Tetrad Postulate as a Fundamental Principle in Unified Field Theory" (www.aias.us, 71st paper, first paper of volume 5 of ref. (2)).
- {7} M. W. Evans, Omnia Opera Section of <a href="www.aias.us">www.aias.us</a>, containing key reviews and monographs.
- {8} M. W. Evans and L. B. Crowell, "Classical and Quantum Electrodynamics and the B(3) Field" (World Scientific, 2001).
- {9} M. W. Evans (ed.), "Modern Non-Linear Optics", in I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice (series eds.), "Advances in Chemical Physics" (Wiley-Inter-science, 2001, 2<sup>nd</sup>. Ed.), vols 119(1) to 119(3).
- {10} M. W. Evans and J.-P. Vigier, "The Enigmatic Photon" (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994 to 2002, hardback and softback), in five volumes.
- {11} M. W. Evans and S. Kielich (eds.), first edition of ref. (9), (Wiley Inter-science, New York, 1992, 1993 and 1997), vols. 85(1), 85(2) and 85(3).
- {12} E. G. Milewski (Chief Ed.,), "Vector Analysis Problem Solver" (Research and Education Association, New York, 1987, revised printing).
- {13} L. H. Ryder, "Quantum Field Theory" (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 1996).
- {14} M. W. Evans, G. J. Evans, W. T. Coffey and P. Grigolini, "Moelcular Dyanmics" (Wiley Inter-science, New York, 1982).